

Search Authors...



Translate Website

Search...



GR Newsletter, Enter Email

[US Nato War](#) [Economy](#) [Civil Rights](#) [Environment](#) [Poverty](#) [Media](#) [Justice](#) [9/11](#) [War Crimes](#) [Militarization](#) [History](#) [Science](#)

## Fișierele Vax-Gene: Autoritățile de reglementare au aprobat un cal troian?

De [Dr. Julie Sladden](#) și [Julian Gillespie](#)Cercetare globală, 29 august 2023  
[Institutul Brownstone](#) 16 august 2023

Tema: Știință și Medicină



Translate Website



Toate articolele Global Research pot fi citite în 51 de limbi prin activarea butonului Traducere site-ul web de sub numele autorului.

Pentru a primi Newsletter-ul zilnic al Global Research (articole selectate), [faceți clic aici](#).

Faceți clic pe butonul de distribuie de mai sus pentru a trimite prin e-mail/redirecționare acest articol prietenilor și colegilor dvs. Urmărește-ne pe [Instagram](#) și [Twitter](#) și abonează-te la [canalul nostru Telegram](#). Simțiți-vă liber să repostați și să distribuiți pe scară largă articolele Global Research.

\*\*\*

Descoperirea alarmantă de către omul de știință [Kevin McKernan](#) a **contaminării ADN-ului în flacoanele cu vaccinuri Pfizer și Moderna Covid a stârnit îngrijorare semnificativă în comunitatea științifică**. Între timp, descoperirea raportată a atras critici din partea celor care se grăbesc să „demonizeze” pe oricine pune la îndoială siguranța, eficacitatea și caracterul sfințit al „vaccinurilor”.

Detractorii lui McKernan – și au fost mulți dintre ei – au criticat totul, de la lipsa publicării evaluate de colegi până la speculații cu privire la viabilitatea fiolelor trimise anonim.

Acum, nu mă înțelege greșit. Critica și dezbateră deschisă în ancheta științifică sunt lucruri bune. După cei trei ani de cenzură și dezbateri înăbușite în știință și medicină, un lucru este evident: libertatea de exprimare este primordială pentru adevăr.

Să fim clari cu un alt lucru. Sistemul de evaluare inter pares este practic defect. Aceiași jucători cu interese în industria farmaceutică au, în mod curios, aceeași influență asupra industriei de cercetare și publicații. [După cum subliniază](#) pe bună dreptate McKernan, „[p]ața va valida această constatare cu mult înainte ca evaluarea tradițională de la egal la egal să-și pună cizmele. Reproducerea independentă a laboratorului umed depășește 3 cititori anonimi de fiecare dată. Aceasta a fost motivația din spatele publicării rezultatelor online, cu un [apel la acțiune](#) pentru oamenii de știință din domeniu pentru a verifica în mod independent rezultatele.

Răspunde la apelul pe care l-au făcut. Rezultatele McKernan – **pentru produsul Pfizer (BNT162b2) – au fost acum verificate independent de un număr de laboratoare recunoscute internațional, confirmând atât prezența, cât și nivelurile de contaminare cu ADN în diferite fiole și loturi.**

Deci, punând întrebarea „Este rezultatul reproductibil?” **răspunsul (cel puțin pentru produsul Pfizer BNT162b2) este „Da”. Contaminarea este reală.** Aceste rezultate ne determină acum să punem alte întrebări care atârnă puternic în aer.

Întrebări precum „Cât de gravă este contaminarea”, „Ce fac autoritățile de reglementare în privința asta” și – întrebarea pe buzele tuturor – „Ce înseamnă asta pentru miliardele care au luat lovitura?”

Aceste întrebări merită răspunsuri.

Deci, cât de gravă este contaminarea? Sunt două lucruri de luat în considerare aici.

**În primul rând, care sunt nivelurile de contaminare și, în al doilea rând, care sunt componentele contaminării.**

După cum [sa raportat anterior](#), nivelurile de contaminare cu ADN din produsul Pfizer BNT162b2 au depășit de aproximativ 18-70 de ori limitele stabilite de autoritățile de reglementare. Aceste [niveluri](#) de contaminare au fost, de asemenea, confirmate independent.

Pentru a pune o perspectivă asupra acestor cifre, McKernan [explică](#) în ceea ce privește testarea PCR pentru Covid.

„Probabil ați fost tamponat cu unul dintre acele tamponuri nazale pentru a obține o PCR Covid. Ai fi numit pozitiv cu un CT (pragul ciclului) sub 40. Primul CT sub 20 cu contaminarea vaccinului. Este o contaminare de un milion de ori mai mare decât ai fi numit pozitiv dacă ai un virus. Acum, virusul pe care îl tamponează este în afara membranei mucoasei din nas. Vorbim despre un contaminant care se injectează, ocolind apărarea mucoasei la concentrații de un milion de ori mai mari... Există o diferență enormă aici în ceea ce privește cantitatea de material în care se află acolo.

Procesul de fabricație, așa cum este discutat într-un [articol recent BMJ](#), indică modul în care s-ar fi putut produce contaminarea ADN-ului.

The clinical trials were run using 'Process 1' which involved in vitro transcription off synthetic DNA – essentially a 'clean' process. However, this process is not viable for mass production, so the manufacturers switched to 'Process 2' to dial things up. Process 2 involves using E. coli bacteria to replicate the plasmids.

Getting the plasmids out of the E. coli. can be challenging and result in residual plasmids in the vaccines. But there's another concern. When plasmid contamination is found, there is a potential for bacterial endotoxin to also be present. This endotoxin can produce serious side effects if injected including anaphylaxis and septic shock. Australian [Professor Geoff Pain](#) remains most vocal providing extensive details on these endotoxins.

Sequencing of the plasmids from the Pfizer vials resulted in another 'accidental' discovery. Something was found that wasn't in the sequence map disclosed by Pfizer to the [EMA](#). **This something is called a SV40 promoter. The SV40 promoter is a sequence that turns on gene expression, like a switch.** It is also a [potent nuclear localisation signal](#), meaning it makes a beeline for the nucleus. The entire SV40 genetic sequence came to infamous prominence in the 1960s having been found to have polluted the Salk polio vaccine, causing a subsequent surge in cancers. We'll return to the concerning significance of the SV40 promoter sequence in a moment.

Subsequent [experiments](#) suggest that most of the DNA contamination is fragmented, which is by no means benign. McKernan [states](#), '(Much of) the DNA is actually linear because they do go through a step trying to fragment this and (linear DNA) has a higher propensity for integration than circular plasmid DNA.' It seems that a significant amount of the DNA is in this form and presents a greater risk to humans in terms of risk for integration into the genome, than the circular DNA.

To make matters worse – as if things could get any worse – it appears that much of the DNA is packaged in the [lipid nano particles \(LNP\)](#).

'If the DNA is actually in the LNPs, we have different risks, as... this will then transfect the mammalian cells and become a genetic alteration. Now, whether it integrates with the genome is secondary, the fact that you're getting foreign DNA into the cell is a risk in and of itself, because it could partially get expressed, or it could muddle around with other transcription, translation machinery that's in there,' McKernan [explains](#).

Let's recap. **We have DNA, which is mostly packaged in LNP designed to travel all over the body and enter cells, delivering it's genetic cargo like a trojan horse.** Some of this DNA may contain the SV40 promoter sequence – the one known to make a beeline to the nucleus and turn on gene expression. McKernan states an obvious concern, 'If (the SV40 promoter) becomes integrated into the genome it will turn on gene expression wherever it lands. If this happens to be an oncogene (a cancer-causing gene), you've got problems.'

This, dear reader, is only one of the many possible adverse effects from injecting synthetic DNA into humans.

The scientific literature acknowledges the potential for foreign/synthetic DNA alone to be [oncogenic \(cancer-causing\)](#), [infectious](#), and [prothrombotic](#). In addition, **genomic integration of a viral promoter like SV40 can contribute to cancer and is well known to cause leukemia in gene therapy trials.**

You can see why scientists are alarmed. **These concerns were presented to the FDA on the June 16, 2023. What have they done with this information you ask? Probably filed it in a box somewhere in a deep dark warehouse between the words 'conspicuous' and 'conspire' is my guess.**

When we consider the above it is clear why strict legal rules exist in the field of genetic science especially where humans are involved. Rules designed to (actually) keep people safe from the potential known and unknown consequences of messing with the genetic integrity of human life. Which brings us to the next question:

'What are the regulatory authorities doing about it?' From what we can tell, nothing.

The independently verified contamination alone **heralds a serious quality control issue which behooves immediate attention from the likes of the FDA, TGA and EMA. Combined with significant adverse event data and climbing excess mortality rates around the world these shots should have been pulled over two years ago. Indeed, we would postulate they should never have been approved.**

This unfolding story is by no means over. **Serious questions have been raised asking whether these products, which have been injected into billions around the world, were approved illegally.**

The disturbing revelation was raised in a recent landmark [publication](#) by one of the authors. It appears that even without the DNA contamination 'the so-called "vaccines," from the beginning fulfilled the legal definitions for being categorized as genetically modified organisms.' They therefore required GMO licences. It would appear those licences are missing.

The Australian Federal Court is being asked to consider this issue in [proceedings](#) recently filed under the [Gene Technology Act](#) against Pfizer and Moderna.

Australia's [TGA](#) and the [Office of the Gene Technology Regulator](#) were thoroughly informed of the GMO and synthetic DNA contamination by the lawyers responsible, but neither office has bothered to reply nor comment.

In a statement to the press, instructing solicitor Katie Ashby-Koppens says, 'We took this case on because neither of the appropriate regulators were doing anything about it. The Therapeutic Goods Administration and Office of the Gene Technology Regulator were both put on notice in 2022 that these products contain GMOs and they have failed to act. It has been left to citizens to do what the Australian Government won't do.'

"Every single person who has been injected with these products has received a GMO that has not been through the expert regulatory process in this country. The human genome could be changed permanently, and no one was informed."

If all this bares out, at best the regulatory bodies have failed in their duty to protect the people. At worst they have been complicit in a crime with consequences for the world's population and generations to come.

To answer the final question the question on everyone's lips: 'What does this mean for the billions who took the jab?' We may soon start answering this question more precisely, with the [development of qPCR kits](#) to differentiate between Long Covid and Long Vax, and determine whether vaccine sequences are present in human tissue samples.


\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

**Dr Julie Sladden** is a medical doctor and freelance writer with a passion for transparency in healthcare. Her op-eds have been published in both *The Spectator Australia* and *The Daily Declaration*. In 2022, she was elected as a Local Government Councillor for West Tamar in Tasmania.

**Julian Gillespie** is a lawyer and former barrister in Australia, known for his Covid-19 research and advocacy. His work includes seeking to have the provisional approval of Covid-19 vaccines declared legally invalid due to failures to meet regulatory standards. Julian is also a director of *Children's Health Defense, Australia*.

Featured image is from *Children's Health Defense*



## The Worldwide Corona Crisis, Global Coup d'Etat Against Humanity

by Michel Chossudovsky

Michel Chossudovsky reviews in detail how this insidious project "destroys people's lives". He provides a comprehensive analysis of everything you need to know about the "pandemic" — from the medical dimensions to the economic and social repercussions, political underpinnings, and mental and psychological impacts.

*"My objective as an author is to inform people worldwide and refute the official narrative which has been used as a justification to destabilize the economic and social fabric of entire countries, followed by the imposition of the "deadly" COVID-19 "vaccine". This crisis affects humanity in its entirety: almost 8 billion people. We stand in solidarity with our fellow human beings and our children worldwide. Truth is a powerful instrument."*

ISBN: 978-0-9879389-3-0, Year: 2022, PDF Ebook, Pages: 164, 15 Chapters

~~Price: \$44.50~~ **FREE COPY!** [Click here \(docsend\) and download.](#)

We encourage you to support the eBook project by making a donation through Global Research's [DonorBox "Worldwide Corona Crisis" Campaign Page](#).

The original source of this article is [Brownstone Institute](#)

Copyright © Dr. Julie Sladden and Julian Gillespie, Brownstone Institute, 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

### Related Articles from our Archives

COVID-19 mRNA Vaccination Campaign Is  
Mass Homicide  
14 August 2023

Video: Dr. McCullough US Senate: To Save  
Lives Pull the COVID-19 Vaccines Off the  
Market  
30 March 2023

Video: Oncology Prof. Angus Dalgleish, Warns  
About COVID-19 Vaccine-induced Turbo  
Cancers  
26 August 2023



Translate Website

Articles by:

**Dr. Julie Sladden and  
Julian Gillespie**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) conține materiale protejate prin drepturi de autor a căror utilizare nu a fost întotdeauna autorizată în mod specific de către proprietarul drepturilor de autor. Punem la dispoziția cititorilor noștri un astfel de material în conformitate cu prevederile „utilizării loiale” într-un efort de a promova o mai bună înțelegere a problemelor politice, economice și sociale. Materialul de pe acest site este distribuit fără profit celor care și-au exprimat în prealabil interesul de a-l primi în scop de cercetare și educație. Dacă doriți să utilizați material protejat prin drepturi de autor în alte scopuri decât „utilizarea loială”, trebuie să solicitați permisiunea proprietarului drepturilor de autor.

Pentru întrebări media: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

**Countries Index**  
**Most Popular**  
**Links**  
**Contact**  
**Membership**  
**Online Store**

US NATO War Agenda  
Global Economy  
Crimes against Humanity  
Militarization and WMD  
Law and Justice  
Police State & Civil Rights  
History  
9/11 & 'War on Terrorism'  
Media Disinformation

Militarization and WMD  
Oil and Energy  
Police State & Civil Rights  
Religion  
Poverty & Social Inequality  
Science and Medicine  
United Nations  
US NATO War Agenda  
Women's Rights

[Privacy Policy](#)

Copyright © 2005-2023 GlobalResearch.ca